arXiv:1403.2238v4 [hep-th] 14 Apr 2015

General solution for the warp function in the RS scenario

A.V. Kisselev*

Institute for High Energy Physics, NRC "Kurchatov Institute",

142281 Protvino, Russian Federation

Abstract

The five-dimensional space-time, with non-factorizable geometry and fifth dimension y being an orbifold S^1/Z_2, is studied. In such a scenario, originally suggested by Randall and Sundrum, there exist two branes at fixed points of the orbifold, and the four-dimensional metric is multiplied by a warp factor $\exp[\sigma(y)]$. In the present paper, the general solution $\sigma(y)$ of the Einstein-Hilbert's equations is presented which is symmetric with respect to the interchange of two branes. It obeys the orbifold symmetry $y \to -y$ and explicitly reproduces jumps of its derivative on both branes. This general solution for $\sigma(y)$ is determined by the Einstein-Hilbert's equations up to a constant, that results in physically diverse schemes.

The 5-dimensional space-time with non-factorizable geometry and two branes was suggested by Randall and Sundrum (RS1 model) [1] as an alternative to the ADD model with flat extra dimensions [2]-[4]. Its phenomenological implications were explored soon [5]. The model predicts an existence of heavy Kaluza-Klein excitations (KK gravitons). These massive resonances are intensively searched for by the LHC collaborations (see, for instance, [6], [7]).

The RS scenario is described by the following background warped metric

$$ds^2 = e^{-2\sigma(y)}\, \eta_{\mu\nu}\, dx^\mu\, dx^\nu - dy^2 \,, \tag{1}$$

*Electronic address: alexandre.kisselev@ihep.ru

where $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is the Minkowski tensor with the signature $(+,-,-,-)$, and y is an extra coordinate. It is a model of gravity in the AdS_5 space-time compactified to the orbifold S^1/Z_2. There are two branes located at the fixed points of the orbifold. The function $\sigma(y)$ in the warp factor $\exp[-2\sigma(y)]$ was obtained to be [1]

$$\sigma_0(y) = \kappa|y| \,, \tag{2}$$

where κ is a parameter with a dimension of mass.

This expression is consistent with the orbifold symmetry $y \to -y$. However, it is not symmetric with respect to the branes. The jump of the derivative $\sigma'(y)$ on the brane $y = \pi r_c$ does not follow from expression (2) *directly*, but only after taking into account periodicity condition.[1] Moreover, an arbitrary constant can be added to $\sigma_0(y)$. Thus, a generalization of the RS solution (2) is needed.

In the present paper we will derive such a general solution $\sigma(y)$ of the Einstein-Hilbert's equations (see (7), (8) below) which has the following properties: (i) it has the orbifold symmetry $y \to -y$; (ii) jumps of $\sigma'(y)$ are explicitly reproduced on both branes; (iii) it is symmetric with respect to the interchange of the branes; (iv) it includes a constant term.

Previously, the solution for $\sigma(y)$ was studied in ref. [8]. In the present paper we reconsider and strengthen arguments used in deriving solution, as well as correct expressions for $\sigma'(y)$ and 5-dimensional cosmological constant Λ presented in [8].

The classical action of the Randall-Sundrum scenario [1] is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S = & \int d^4x \int_{-\pi r_c}^{\pi r_c} dy \, \sqrt{G} \, (2\bar{M}_5^3 \mathcal{R} - \Lambda) \\ & + \int d^4x \sqrt{|g^{(1)}|} \, (\mathcal{L}_1 - \Lambda_1) + \int d^4x \sqrt{|g^{(2)}|} \, (\mathcal{L}_2 - \Lambda_2) \,, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $G_{MN}(x,y)$ is the 5-dimensional metric, with $M,N = 0,1,2,3,4$, $\mu = 0,1,2,3$, and y is the 5-th dimension coordinate of the size πr_c. The quantities

$$g^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}(x) = G_{\mu\nu}(x, y=0) \,, \quad g^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}(x) = G_{\mu\nu}(x, y=\pi r_c) \tag{4}$$

are induced metrics on the branes, $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$ are brane Lagrangians, $G = \det(G_{MN})$, $g^{(i)} = \det(g^{(i)}_{\mu\nu})$.

[1] Here and in what follows, the *prime* denotes the derivative with respect to variable y.

The periodicity condition, $y = y \pm 2\pi r_c$, is imposed and the points (x_μ, y) and $(x_\mu, -y)$ are identified. So, one gets the orbifold S^1/Z_2. We consider the case with two 3-branes located at the fixed points $y = 0$ (Plank brane) and $y = \pi r_c$ (TeV brane). The SM fields are constrained to the TeV (physical) brane, while the gravity propagates in all spatial dimensions.

From action (3) 5-dimensional Einstein-Hilbert's equations follow

$$\sqrt{|G|}\left(\mathcal{R}_{MN} - \frac{1}{2}\,G_{MN}\mathcal{R}\right) = -\frac{1}{4\bar{M}_5^3}\Big[\sqrt{|G|}\,G_{MN}\Lambda + \sqrt{|g^{(1)}|}\,g^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}\,\delta^\mu_M\,\delta^\nu_N\,\delta(y)\,\Lambda_1 + \sqrt{|g^{(2)}|}\,g^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}\,\delta^\mu_M\,\delta^\nu_N\,\delta(y-\pi r_c)\,\Lambda_2\Big]\,. \quad (5)$$

In what follows, the reduced scales will be used: $\bar{M}_{\rm Pl} = M_{\rm Pl}/\sqrt{8\pi} \simeq 2.4 \cdot 10^{18}$ GeV, and $\bar{M}_5 = M_5/(2\pi)^{1/3} \simeq 0.54\,\bar{M}_5$.

In order to solve Einstein-Hilbert's equations, it is assumed that the background metric respects 4-dimensional Poincare invariance (1). After orbifolding, the coordinate of the extra compact dimension varies within the limits $0 \leqslant y \leqslant \pi r_c$. Then the 5-dimensional background metric tensor looks like[2]

$$G_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{\mu\nu} & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\,, \quad (6)$$

where $g_{\mu\nu} = \exp(-2\sigma)\,\eta_{\mu\nu}$. For the background metric, the Einstein-Hilbert's equations are reduced to the following set of two equations

$$6\sigma'^2(y) = -\frac{\Lambda}{4\bar{M}_5^3}\,, \quad (7)$$

$$3\sigma''(y) = \frac{1}{4\bar{M}_5^3}\left[\Lambda_1\,\delta(y) + \Lambda_2\,\delta(\pi r_c - y)\right]. \quad (8)$$

In between the branes (i.e. for $0 < y < \pi r_c$) we get from (8) that $\sigma''(y) = 0$, that results in $\sigma'(y) = \kappa$, where κ is a scale with a dimension of mass.

Let us define dimensionless quantities λ, λ_1 and λ_2 ($\lambda > 0, \lambda_{1,2} \neq 0$),

$$\Lambda = -24\bar{M}_5^3\kappa^2\lambda\,, \quad \Lambda_{1,2} = 12\bar{M}_5^3\kappa\lambda_{1,2}\,. \quad (9)$$

Then we obtain

$$\sigma'^2(y) = \kappa^2\lambda\,, \quad (10)$$

$$\sigma''(y) = \kappa[\lambda_1\,\delta(y) + \lambda_2\,\delta(y - \pi r_c)]\,. \quad (11)$$

[2]We ignore the backreaction of the brane term on the space-time geometry.

The quantity κ defines a magnitude of the 5-dimensional scalar curvature.

The branes must be treated on an *equal* footing. Thus, the function $\sigma(y)$ should be symmetric with respect to the simultaneous replacements $|y| \rightleftarrows |y - \pi r_c|$, $\lambda_1 \rightleftarrows \lambda_2$. For the interval $0 \leqslant y \leqslant \pi r_c$, the solution of eq. (11) looks like[3]

$$\sigma(y) = \frac{\kappa}{4}[(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(|y| - |y - \pi r_c|) + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(|y| + |y - \pi r_c|)] + \text{ constant }, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 2 \, . \quad (13)$$

Note that eq. (13) guarantees that $\sigma'(y) = \kappa$ for $0 < y < \pi r_c$.

There are two possibilities:

- brane tensions have the same sign
  The function $\sigma(y)$ should be symmetric with respect to the replacement $|y| \to |y - \pi r_c|$, since under such a replacement the branes are interchanged (the fixed point $y = 0$ becomes the fixed point $y = \pi r_c$, and vice versa). Then one has to put $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 0$ that contradicts eq. (13). Thus, this case cannot be realized.

- brane tensions have the opposite signs
  The warp function $\sigma(y)$ must be symmetric under the simultaneous substitutions $|y| \to |y - \pi r_c|$, $\kappa \to -\kappa$. Thus, one has to take

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0 \, . \quad (14)$$

It follows from (13), (14) that the brane tensions are

$$\lambda_1 = -\lambda_2 = 1 \, . \quad (15)$$

As a result, we come to the unique solution:

$$\sigma(y) = \frac{\kappa}{2}(|y| - |y - \pi r_c|) + \frac{\kappa \pi r_c}{2} - C \, . \quad (16)$$

The constants in (16) are chosen in such a way that one has

$$\sigma(y) = \kappa y - C \quad (17)$$

[3]We omitted a term linear in y, since it explicitly violets the orbifold symmetries.

within the interval $0 < y < \pi r_c$. Taking into account the periodicity condition and orbifold symmetry, we put

$$0 \leqslant C \leqslant \kappa \pi r_c \, . \tag{18}$$

It follows from Einstein-Hilbert's eq. (11),[4] as well as from (16), that

$$\sigma'(y) = \frac{\kappa}{2} \left[\varepsilon(y) - \varepsilon(y - \pi r_c) \right] . \tag{19}$$

Let us stress that the domain of definition of the function $\varepsilon(x)$ in (19) *must be constrained* to the interval $-\pi r_c < x < \pi r_c$. Outside this region, one has to use the periodicity condition first in order to define $\sigma'(y)$ correctly.[5] In particular, it means

$$\varepsilon(-y_0) = -\varepsilon(y_0) = -1 \, , \quad \varepsilon(-y_0 - \pi r_c) = \varepsilon(-y_0 + \pi r_c) = 1 \, , \tag{20}$$

for $0 < y_0 < \pi r_c$. Then we find from (19), (20) that $\sigma'(-y) = -\sigma'(y)$, as it should be for the derivative of the symmetric function $\sigma(y)$, and eq. (10) says that

$$\lambda = 1 \, . \tag{21}$$

In initial notations,

$$\Lambda = -24 \bar{M}_5^3 \kappa^2 \, , \tag{22}$$

$$\Lambda_1 = -\Lambda_2 = 12 \bar{M}_5^3 \kappa \, . \tag{23}$$

The RS1 fine tuning relations look slightly different [1],

$$\Lambda = -24 \bar{M}_5^3 \kappa^2 \, , \tag{24}$$

$$\Lambda_1 = -\Lambda_2 = 24 \bar{M}_5^3 \kappa \, . \tag{25}$$

It can be seen that our solution $\sigma(y)$ (16) obeys Z_2 symmetry if one remembers the periodicity in variable y. Let us underline that expression (16) is symmetric with respect to the branes. Indeed, under the replacement $y \to \pi r_c - y$, the positions of the branes are interchanged (the point $y = 0$ becomes the point $y = \pi r_c$, and vice versa), while under the replacement $\kappa \to -\kappa$, the tensions of the branes (23) are interchanged.

[4] It means that eq. (19) must be valid for all RS-like solution.

[5] As one has to do for expression (2).

If we start from the fixed point $y = \pi r_c$ instead of the point $y = 0$, we come to an *equivalent* solution

$$\sigma_\pi(y) = -\kappa|y - \pi r_c| + \kappa\pi r_c \, . \tag{26}$$

Note that (26) and (2) coincide at $0 < y < \pi r_c$. Our final formula (16) is in fact a half-sum of these two solutions,

$$\sigma(y) = \frac{1}{2}[\sigma_0(y) + \sigma_\pi(y)] + \text{constant} \, . \tag{27}$$

An explicit expression which makes the jumps of $\sigma'(y)$ on both branes was presented in [9],

$$\sigma(y) = \kappa\{y[2\,\theta(y) - 1] - 2(y - \pi r_c)\,\theta(y - \pi r_c)\} + \text{constant} \, . \tag{28}$$

However, contrary to our formula (16), this expression is neither symmetric in variable y nor invariant with respect to the interchange of the branes.

Let us stress that not only the brane warp factors, but hierarchy relations and graviton mass spectra depend drastically on a particular value of the constant C in (16). Correspondingly, the parameters of the model, $\bar{M}_5$ and κ, can differ significantly for different C.

From now on, it will be assumed that $\pi\kappa r_c \gg 1$. The hierarchy relation is given by the formula

$$\bar{M}_{\rm Pl}^2 = \frac{\bar{M}_5^3}{\kappa} e^{2C} \left(1 - e^{-2\pi\kappa r_c}\right) \simeq \frac{\bar{M}_5^3}{\kappa}\, e^{2C} \, . \tag{29}$$

The interactions of the gravitons $h_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}$ with the SM fields on the physical brane (brane 2) are given by the effective Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm int} = -\frac{1}{\bar{M}_{\rm Pl}}\, h_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}(x)\, T_{\alpha\beta}(x)\, \eta^{\mu\alpha}\eta^{\nu\beta} - \frac{1}{\Lambda_\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}(x)\, T_{\alpha\beta}(x)\, \eta^{\mu\alpha}\eta^{\nu\beta} \, , \tag{30}$$

were $T^{\mu\nu}(x)$ is the energy-momentum tensor of the SM fields, and the coupling constant of the massive modes is

$$\Lambda_\pi \simeq \frac{\bar{M}_{\rm Pl}}{\sqrt{\exp(2\kappa\pi r_c) - 1}} \simeq \bar{M}_{\rm Pl}\, e^{-\kappa\pi r_c} \, . \tag{31}$$

The graviton masses m_n $(n = 1, 2, \ldots)$ are defined from the equation

$$J_1(a_{1n})Y_1(a_{2n}) - Y_1(a_{1n})J_1(a_{2n}) = 0 \ , \tag{32}$$

where

$$a_1 = \frac{m_n}{\kappa}\, e^{-C} = \frac{m_n}{\bar{M}_{\rm Pl}} \left(\frac{\bar{M}_5}{\kappa}\right)^{3/2}, \quad a_2 = \frac{m_n}{\kappa}\, e^{\kappa\pi r_c - C} = \frac{m_n}{\bar{M}_{\rm Pl}} \left(\frac{\bar{M}_5}{\kappa}\right)^{3/2} e^{\kappa\pi r_c} \ . \tag{33}$$

As a result, for all $m_n \ll \bar{M}_{\rm Pl}(\kappa/\bar{M}_5)^{3/2}$, we get

$$m_n = x_n \bar{M}_{\rm Pl} \left(\frac{\kappa}{\bar{M}_5}\right)^{3/2} e^{-\kappa\pi r_c} \ , \tag{34}$$

where x_n are zeros of the Bessel function $J_1(x)$.

By taking different values of C in eq. (16), we come to quite diverse *physical scenarios*. One of them $(C = 0)$ is in fact the RS1 model [1]. Another scheme $(C = \kappa\pi r_c)$ describes a geometry with a small curvature of five-dimensional space-time [10]-[12] (RSSC model). It predicts a spectrum of the KK gravitons similar to a spectrum of the ADD model [2]-[4]. For the LHC phenomenology of the RSSC model, see, for instance, [13], [14]. The scheme with $C = \kappa\pi r_c/2$, and $\sigma(0) = -\sigma(\pi r_c) = -\kappa\pi r_c/2$ also lead to an interesting phenomenology quite different from that of the RS1 model. The details is a subject of a separate publication.

As one can see, both the mass spectrum of the KK gravitons (34) and theirs interaction with the SM fields (31) is independent of C. However, it does not mean that schemes with different values of C are physically equivalent. The point is that the hierarchy relation (29) does depend on C. The RS1 hierarchy relation looks like

$$\bar{M}_{\rm Pl}^2 = \frac{\bar{M}_5^3}{\kappa} \ , \tag{35}$$

while the RSSC relation [10]-[12], [15] is

$$\bar{M}_{\rm Pl}^2 = \frac{\bar{M}_5^3}{\kappa}\, e^{2\kappa\pi r_c} \ . \tag{36}$$

The different values of the constant C leads to a quite different spectra of the KK gravitons. For instance, in the RS1 model the hierarchy relation

(35) needs $\kappa \sim \bar{M}_5 \sim \bar{M}_{\rm Pl}$ with $m_n/x_n \sim 1$ TeV, while in the RSSC model one can take $\kappa \sim 1$ GeV, $M_5 \sim 1$ TeV, that results in $m_n/x_n \sim 1$ GeV. Let us underline that eq. (35) *does not admit* the parameters of the model to lie in the mentioned above region $\kappa \sim 1$ GeV, $M_5 \sim 1$ TeV.

Note that a shift $\sigma \to \sigma - B$, where B is a constant, is equivalent to a change of four-dimensional coordinates [15],

$$x^\mu \to x'^\mu = e^{-B} x^\mu \ . \tag{37}$$

However, a massive theory *is not* invariant with respect to scale transformations. Indeed, consider the effective gravity action on the TeV brane (with radion term omitted). It looks like (see, for instance, [16])

$$S_{\rm eff} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int d^4x \Big[\partial_\mu h^{(n)}_{\varrho\sigma}(x) \partial_\nu h^{(n)}_{\delta\lambda}(x)\, \eta^{\mu\nu} - m_n^2 h^{(n)}_{\varrho\sigma}(x) h^{(n)}_{\delta\lambda}(x) \Big] \eta^{\varrho\delta} \eta^{\sigma\lambda} \ , \tag{38}$$

The invariance of this action under transformation (37) needs rescaling of the graviton fields and their mass: $h^{(n)}_{\mu\nu} \to h'^{(n)}_{\mu\nu} = e^B h^{(n)}_{\mu\nu}$, $m_n \to m'_n = e^B m_n$. The scale transformation (37) is a particular case of conformal transformations, and the theory of *massive* KK gravitons is not conformal invariant. The zero mass sector (standard gravity) remains the same under the scale transformation.

As an illustration, note that the transition from the RS1 scenario to the RSSC scenario means that $\sigma \to \sigma - \pi\kappa r_c$. Correspondingly, equation for the graviton masses in the RS1 model,

$$m_n \simeq x_n \kappa\, e^{-\kappa\pi r_c} \ , \tag{39}$$

transforms into the following equation (see also [10]-[12], [15])

$$m_n \simeq x_n \kappa \ . \tag{40}$$

In the limit $\kappa \to 0$, the hierarchy relation for the flat metric is reproduced from (29),

$$\bar{M}^2_{\rm Pl} = \bar{M}^3_5 V_1 \ , \tag{41}$$

where $V_1 = 2\pi r_c$ is the ED volume.[6] In addition, $\Lambda_\pi \to \bar{M}_{\rm Pl}$, and $m_n \to n/r_c$, as one can derive from (32).

[6]Note that $C \to 0$ in this limit, since $0 \leqslant C \leqslant \kappa\pi r_c$.

To summarize, we have studied the space-time with non-factorizable geometry in four spatial dimensions with two branes (RS scenario). It has the warp factor $\exp[\sigma(y)]$ in front of four-dimensional metric. The generalization of the original RS solution of the Einstein-Hilbert equations for the function $\sigma(y)$ is obtained (16) which: (i) obeys the orbifold symmetry $y \to -y$; (ii) makes the jumps of $\sigma'(y)$ on both branes; (iii) has the explicit symmetry with respect to the branes; (iv) includes the constant C ($0 \leqslant C \leqslant \kappa\pi r_c$). This constant can be used for model building within the framework of the general RS scenario.

The author is indebted to G. Altarelli, I. Antoniadis, M.L. Mangano and V.A. Petrov for fruitful discussions. He is also indebted to the Theory Division of CERN for the support and hospitality.

References

[1] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 3370 [hep-ph/9905221].

[2] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. Dvali, Phys. Lett. **B 429** (1998) 263 [hep-ph/9803315].

[3] I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. Dvali, Phys. Lett. **B 436** (1998) 257 [hep-ph/9804398].

[4] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. Dvali, Phys. Rev. **D 59** (1999) 086004 [hep-ph/9807344].

[5] H. Davoudiasl, J.L. Hewett and T.G. Rizzo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84** (2000) 2080 [hep-ph/9909255].

[6] ATLAS Collaboration, New J. Phys. **15** (2013) 043007 [arXiv:1210.8389].

[7] CMS Collaboration, Phys. Lett. **B 720** (2013) 63 [arXiv:1212.6175].

[8] A.V. Kisselev, Phys. Rev. D **88** (2013) 095012 [arXiv:1311.5316].

[9] D. Dominici, B. Grzadkowski, J.F. Gunion and M. Toharia, Nucl. Phys. **B 671** (2003) 243 [hep-ph/0206192].

[10] G. F. Giudice, T. Plehn and A. Strumia, Nucl. Phys. **B 706** (2005) 455 [hep-ph/0408320].

[11] A.V. Kisselev and V.A. Petrov, Phys. Rev. **D 71** (2005) 124032 [hep-ph/0504203].

[12] A.V. Kisselev, Phys. Rev. **D 73** (2006) 024007 [hep-th/0507145].

[13] A.V. Kisselev, JHEP **09** (2008) 039 [arXiv:0804.3941].

[14] A.V. Kisselev, JHEP **04** (2013) 025 [arXiv:1210.3238].

[15] V.A. Rubakov, Phys. Usp. **44** (2001) 871 [hep-ph/0104152].

[16] E.E. Boos, Yu.A. Kubyshin, M.N. Smolyakov and I.P. Volobuev, Class. Quant. Grav. **19** (2002) 4591 [hep-th/0202009].